

Mat 2345 — Discrete Math

Week 12

Fall 2013

Student Responsibilities — Week 12

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

- **Reading:** Textbook, Section 4.4 & 4.5

- **Assignments:**

Sec 4.4 8, 10, 24, 28

Sec 4.5 2, 4, 7, 12

- **Attendance:** Frostily Encouraged

Week 12 Overview

- Sec 4.4. Recursive Algorithms
- Sec 4.5. Program Correctness

Section 4.4 Recursive Algorithms

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

A recursive procedure to find the max in a non-empty list.

We will assume we have built-in functions:

- `Length()` — which returns the number of elements in the list
- `Max()` — which returns the larger of two values
- `Listhead()` — which returns the first element in a list

Note: `Max()` requires one comparison

```
procedure Maxlist(...list...){  
  // PRE:  list is not empty  
  // POST: returns the largest element in list  
  // strip off list head and pass on the remainder  
  
  if Length(list) is 1 then  
    return Listhead(list)  
  else  
    return Max(Listhead(list),  
               Maxlist(remainder_of_list))  
}
```

What happens with the list {29}?

With the list {3, 8, 5}?

How Many Comparisons?

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

The recurrence equation for the number of comparisons required for a list of length n , $C(n)$ is:

$$C(1) = 0 \quad \text{the initial condition}$$

$$C(n) = 1 + C(n-1) \quad \text{the recurrence equation}$$

$$\text{So, } C(n) \in O(n) \quad \text{as we would expect}$$

A Variant of Maxlist()

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

Assuming the list length is a power of 2, here is a variant of Maxlist() using a Divide-and-Conquer approach.

- Divide the list in half, and find the maximum of each half
- Find the Max() of the maximum of the two halves
- Apply these steps to each list half recursively.
- What could the base case(s) be?

Maxlist2() Algorithm

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

```
procedure Maxlist2(...list...){
  // PRE: list is not empty
  // POST: returns the largest element in list
  // Divide list into two lists, take the max of
  // the two halves (recursively)

  if Length(list) is 1 then
    return Listhead(list)
  else
    a = Maxlist2(first half of list)
    b = Maxlist2(second half of list)
    return Max(a, b)
}
```

What happens with the list $\{29, 7\}$?

With the list $\{3, 8, 5, 7\}$?

How Many Comparisons in Maxlist2()?

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

- There are two calls to `Maxlist2()`, each of which requires $C(\frac{n}{2})$ operations to find maximum.
- One comparison is required by the `Max()` function

The **recurrence equation** for the number of comparisons required for a list of length n , $C(n)$, is:

$$\begin{array}{lll} C(1) & = & 0 \quad \text{the initial condition} \\ c(n) & = & 2C(\frac{n}{2}) + 1 \quad \text{the recurrence equation} \end{array}$$

Consider A Sampling

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

n		$C(n) = 2C(\frac{n}{2}) + 1$	
$2^0 = 1$		1	$= 2^1 - 1$
$2^1 = 2$		3	$= 2^2 - 1$
$2^2 = 4$		7	$= 2^3 - 1$
$2^3 = 8$		15	$= 2^4 - 1$
$2^4 = 16$		31	$= 2^5 - 1$
\vdots			\vdots
$2^{\log n} = n$		$2^{\log(n)+1} - 1$	$= 2n - 1 \in O(n)$

Thus, $C(n) = 2^{\log(n)+1} - 1 \in O(n)$

Practice I: **Prove** $3n^2 + 5n + 4 \in O(n^2)$

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

Definition of Big-Oh: $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ if there exists positive constants c and N_0 such that $\forall n \geq N_0$ we have $f(n) \leq cg(n)$

We need to find $c > 0$ and $N_0 > 0$ such that:

$$3n^2 + 5n + 4 \leq cn^2 \quad \forall n \geq N_0$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} 3n^2 + 5n + 4 &\leq 3n^2 + 5n^2 + 4n^2, \text{ when } n > 0 \\ &\leq 12n^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we can choose $c = 12$

Practice I, Cont.

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

$$\begin{aligned} \text{To find } N_0: \quad 3n^2 + 5n + 4 &= 12n^2 \\ 0 &= 9n^2 - 5n - 4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{when } n = 1, 9(1)^2 - 5(1) - 4 = 9 - 5 - 4 = 0$$

Thus, $3n^2 + 5n + 4 \leq 12n^2 \quad \forall n \geq 1$, and
therefore, $3n^2 + 5n + 4 \in O(n^2)$

Practice II.

Given $T(n) = 2n - 1$, prove that $T(n) \in O(n)$

Practice III.

Prove that $T(n) = 3n + 2$ if

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2 & n = 0 \\ 3 + T(n - 1) & n > 0 \end{cases}$$

MergeSort Algorithm

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

```
list MergeSort(list[1..n]){
  // PRE: none
  // POST: returns list[1..n] in sorted order
  // Functional dependency: Merge()

  if n is 0
    return an empty list
  else if n is 1
    return list[1]
  else {
    list A = MergeSort(list[1..n/2])
    list B = MergeSort(list[n/2 + 1..n])
    list C = Merge(A, B)
    return C
  }
}
```

Time Complexity of MergeSort()

Prove by induction that the time complexity of MergeSort(),
 $T(n) \in O(n \log n)$

What we need to do:

- Establish a Base Case for some small n
- Prove $T(k) \leq cf(k) \rightarrow T(2k) \leq cf(2k)$

In particular, we need to prove $\forall k \geq N_0$ that:

$$\begin{aligned} T(k) \leq ck \log k &\rightarrow T(2k) \leq c2k \log(2k) \\ &= c2k(\log 2 + \log k) \\ &= c2k \log k + c2k \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 2^m$ for some $m \geq 0$, *wlog**

*without loss of generality

Base Case

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

Let $n = 1$.

$$n \log n = (1) \log(1) = 1(0) = 0$$

But, $T(n)$ is always positive, so this is not a good base case.

Try a larger number.

Let $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(2) &= \text{Time to divide} \\ &\quad + \text{time to MergeSort halves} \\ &\quad + \text{time to Merge} \\ &= 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 = 5 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{while } n \log n = 2 \log 2 = 2(1) = 2$$

Can we find a constant $c > 0$ such that $5 \leq 2c$?

$$\frac{5}{2} \leq c, \text{ so } \frac{5}{2} \text{ is a lower bound on } c$$

Inductive Hypothesis

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

Assume for some arbitrary $k \geq 2$ that $T(k) \leq ck \log k$

Inductive Step — Show

$$T(2k) \leq 2ck \log k + 2ck$$

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

$$\begin{aligned} T(2k) &\leq 1 + T(\lceil \frac{2k}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{2k}{2} \rfloor) + 2k \\ &\leq T(k) + T(k) + 2k + 1 \\ &\leq 2T(k) + 2k + 1 \\ &\leq 2(ck \log k) + 2k + 1 \\ &\leq 2ck \log k + 2k + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Inductive Step, Cont.

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

Now, can we find a c such that

$$2ck \log k + 2k + 1 \leq 2ck \log k + 2ck$$

$$2k + 1 \leq 2ck$$

$$1 \leq 2ck - 2k$$

$$1 \leq 2k(c - 1)$$

Since $k \geq 2$ from base case, $(c - 1) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ or $c \geq \frac{5}{4}$

We had a lower bound of $\frac{5}{2}$, so we can choose $c = 3$.

Thus, $T(n) \in O(n \log n) \quad \forall n \geq 2$.

More on Complexity

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

- If an algorithm is composed of several parts, then its time complexity is the **sum** of the complexities of its parts.

- We must be able to **evaluate** these **summations**.

- Things become even more complicated when the algorithm contains loops, each iteration of which is a different complexity.

An Example: Suppose $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n i^2$

- We saw $\sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{(n^2+n)}{2} \leq n^2$, and is, in fact, $\Theta(n^2)$
- So, we guess $\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n n^2 = n^3$. Maybe $S_n \in \Theta(n^3)$.
- We can prove our guess correct, and find the minimum constant of difference between S_n and n^3 by induction:
 - Guess: $\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = an^3 + bn^2 + cn + d = P(n)$
 - Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = (n+1)^2$

$$\text{So, } P(n+1) = P(n) + (n+1)^2$$

Thus,

$$a(n+1)^3 + b(n+1)^2 + c(n+1) + d =$$

$$an^3 + bn^2 + cn + d + (n+1)^2$$

$$a(n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1) + b(n^2 + 2n + 1) + cn + c + d =$$

$$an^3 + bn^2 + cn + d + n^2 + 2n + 1$$

$$an^3 + 3an^2 + 3an + a + bn^2 + 2bn + b + cn + c + d =$$

$$an^3 + bn^2 + cn + d + n^2 + 2n + 1$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} 3an^2 + 3an + a + 2bn + b + c &= n^2 + 2n + 1, \quad \text{or} \\ 3an^2 + (3a + 2b)n + (a + b + c) &= n^2 + 2n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since coefficients of the same power of n must be equal:

$$\begin{aligned} 3a &= 1 & (3a+2b) &= 2 & a + b + c &= 1 \\ a &= \frac{1}{3} & 3\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + 2b &= 2 & \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + c &= 1 \\ & & 2b &= 1 & c &= 1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \\ & & b &= \frac{1}{2} & c &= \frac{1}{6} \end{aligned}$$

And we can choose $d = 0$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} P(n) &= \frac{1}{3}(n^3) + \frac{1}{2}(n^2) + \frac{1}{6}(n) \\ &= \frac{2}{6}(n^3) + \frac{3}{6}(n^2) + \frac{1}{6}(n) \\ &= \frac{(2n^3+3n^2+n)}{6} \\ &= \frac{n(2n^2+3n+1)}{6} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we wish to prove $S_n \in \Theta(n^3)$, or, that S_n is a third degree polynomial, by induction.

Base Case

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

Let $n = 1$

$$\text{lhs: } \sum_{i=1}^1 i^2 = 1^2 = 1$$

$$\text{rhs: } P(1) = \frac{1(1+1)(2(1)+1)}{6} = \frac{1(2)(3)}{6} = \frac{6}{6} = 1 \quad \checkmark$$

Inductive Hypothesis

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

Assume for some arbitrary $k \geq 1$, that $S_k = P(k)$

$$\text{That is, } \sum_{i=1}^k i^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}$$

Inductive Step

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

$$\text{Show } S_{k+1} = P(k+1) = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lhs} = S_{k+1} &= S_k + (k+1)^2 && \text{defn of } \Sigma \\ &= \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2 && \text{IH \& subst.} \\ &= \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1) + 6(k+1)^2}{6} && \text{Alg. Man.} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)[k(2k+1) + 6(k+1)]}{6} && \text{Alg. Man.} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(2k^2+k+6k+6)}{6} && \text{Alg. Man.} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(2k^2+7k+6)}{6} && \text{Alg. Man.} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6} = \text{rhs} \quad \checkmark && \text{Alg. Man.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S_n = P(n) \quad \forall n \geq 1$

Hence, $S_n \in \Theta(n^3)$

Section 4.5 — Program Correctness

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

- A brief introduction to the area of program verification, tying together the **rules of logic**, **proof techniques**, and the concept of an **algorithm**.
- **Program verification** means to prove the correctness of the program.
- Why is this important? Why can't we merely run testcases?
- A program is said to be **correct** if it produces the correct output for every possible input.

Correctness Proof

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

A correctness proof for a program consists of **two parts**:

1. Establish the **partial correctness** of the program.
If the program terminates, then it halts with the correct answer.
2. Show that the program **always terminates**.

Proving Output Correct

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

We need two propositions to determine what is meant by **produce the correct output**.

1. **Initial Assertion**: the properties the input values must have. (p)
2. **Final Assertion**: the properties the output of the program should have if the program did what was intended. (q)

A program segment S is said to be **partially correct with respect to p and q , $[p \{S\} q]$** , if — whenever p is TRUE for the input values of S **and** S terminates, — then q is TRUE for the output values of S .

Example

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

$p : x = 1$ // initial assertion

$y = 2$ // segment

$z = x + y$ // S

$q : z = 3$ // final assertion

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

Is $[p \{S\} q]$ TRUE?

Composition Rule: $[p \{S_1\} q]$ and $[q \{S_2\} r] \rightarrow [p \{S_1; S_2\} r]$

Rules of Inference: Conditional Statements

IF condition THEN block

BLOCK is executed when **condition** is TRUE, and it is not executed when **condition** is FALSE.

To verify correctness with respect to p and q , we must show:

1. When p is TRUE and **condition** is also TRUE, then q is TRUE after BLOCK terminates.
2. When p is TRUE and **condition** is FALSE, q is TRUE (since BLOCK does not execute).

This leads to the following rule of inference:

$$[(p \wedge \text{condition})\{\text{block}\}q \quad \text{and} \quad (p \wedge \neg \text{condition}) \rightarrow q] \\ \rightarrow p\{\text{if condition then block}\}q$$

Example

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

p : none

if $x > y$ then $y = x$ // Segment S

q : $y \geq x$

Is $[p \{S\} q]$ TRUE?

IF...THEN...ELSE Statements

IF condition THEN block1 ELSE block2

If **condition** is TRUE, then block1 executes;
if **condition** is FALSE, then block2 executes.

To verify correctness with respect to p and q , we must show:

1. When p is TRUE and **condition** is also TRUE, then q is TRUE after BLOCK1 terminates.
2. When p is TRUE and **condition** is FALSE, q is TRUE after BLOCK2 terminates.

This leads to the following rule of inference:

$$\begin{aligned} & [(p \wedge \text{condition})\{\text{block1}\}q \quad \text{and} \quad (p \wedge \neg\text{condition})\{\text{block2}\}q] \\ & \rightarrow p\{\text{if condition then block1 else block2}\}q \end{aligned}$$

Example

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

$p : \text{none}$

```
if x < 0 then abs = -x // Segment S
else abs = x
```

$q : \text{abs} = |x|$

Is $[p \{S\} q]$ TRUE?

I.e., is the segment correct?

Loop Invariants — While Loops

WHILE condition block

Where BLOCK is repeatedly executed until `condition` becomes FALSE.

Loop Invariant: an assertion that remains TRUE **each** time BLOCK is executed.

I.e., p is a loop invariant if $(p \wedge \text{condition})\{block\}p$ is TRUE

Let p be a loop invariant.

If p is TRUE before Segment S is executed, then p and $\neg \text{condition}$ are TRUE after the loop terminates (if it does).

Hence: $(p \wedge \text{condition})\{S\}p$

$\therefore p\{\text{while condition } S\}(\neg \text{condition} \wedge p)$

Example

Mat 2345 —
Discrete
Math

Week 12

Week 12

Recursion

Practice

MergeSort

Complexity

Correctness

Loop
Invariants

We wish to verify the following code segment terminates with $\text{factorial} = n!$ when n is a positive integer.

Our loop invariant p is: **$\text{factorial} = i!$ and $i \leq n$**

```
i = 1
factorial = 1
while i < n {
    i = i + 1
    factorial = factorial * i
}
```

[Base Case] p is TRUE before we enter the loop since `factorial = 1 = 1!`, and $1 \leq n$.

[Inductive Hypothesis] Assume for some arbitrary $k \geq 1$ that p is TRUE. Thus $i < k$ (so we enter the loop again), and `factorial = (i-1)!`.

[Inductive Step] Show p is still TRUE after execution of the loop. Thus $i \leq k$ and `factorial = i!`.

First, i is incremented by 1

Thus $i \leq k$ since we assumed $i < k$, and i and $k \geq 1$.

Also, `factorial`, which was $(i - 1)!$ by IH, is set to $(i - 1)! * i = i!$

Hence, p remains true.

Since p remains TRUE, p is a loop invariant and thus the assertion:

$$[p \wedge (i < n)]\{S\}p \quad \text{is TRUE}$$

It follows that the assertion:

$$p\{\text{while } i < n \text{ } S\}[(i \geq n) \wedge p] \text{ is also true.}$$

Furthermore, the loop terminates after $n - 1$ iterations with $i = n$, since:

1. i is assigned the value 1 at the beginning of the program,
2. 1 is added to i during each iteration of the loop, and
3. the loop terminates when $i \geq n$

Thus, at termination, `factorial = n!`.

We split larger segments of code into component parts, and use the rule of composition to build the correctness proof.

$$(p = p_1)\{S_1\}q_1, \quad q_1\{S_2\}q_2, \quad \dots, \quad q_{n-1}\{S_n\}(q_n = q) \rightarrow p\{S_1; S_2; \dots; S_n\}q$$