

April 4, 2019

To: A. Cheney, Chair

From: J. Gatrell, Provost

CC: M. Kattenbraker, AVP

W. Minnis, Dean

RE: 2019 DAC Revisions

I am writing to thank the school for submitting 2019 revisions to the Departmental Application of Criteria. As required by the EIU-UPI agreement, I have reviewed the materials and am pleased to accept the revisions.

2018 DEPARTMENTAL APPLICATION OF CRITERIA SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY

The employment obligation of a tenure/tenure track employee is composed of both assigned and unassigned duties and activities. An assigned duty or activity of an employee will be reflected on an assignment of duties form and will receive a credit unit value.

I. Categories of Materials and Activities Considered Appropriate by Performance Area and Relative Importance of Materials/Activities.

A. Teaching/Performance of Primary Duties

Categories of materials and activities appropriate for the evaluation of teaching/performance of primary duties are grouped below in levels of effective performance. Classroom evaluation by peers, the Department Chair, and teaching performance evaluations by students will be considered the most important with considerations given to such factors as the difficulty of the course, class size, rate of return, whether the course is required or elective and mode of delivery. No order of priority is given to the remaining statements listed within each level. The items listed below for each level shall be considered illustrative and not exhaustive because of the diverse nature of the courses within the School of Technology.

Level I: Satisfactory performance in the area of teaching/primary duties may be evidenced by, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Prepares and adopts course syllabi according to Council on Academic Affairs Syllabus Policy 95-69 that includes "course objectives, course outline, or a description of course content, course assignments, projects/papers, grading policy and/or grading scale, attendance policy, evaluation procedures, disability policy, and office hours."
- 2. Satisfactory classroom observations by peers (School Personnel Committee) and Department Chair.
- 3. Satisfactory teaching performance evaluations by students as indicated by student responses on the student evaluation instrument.
- 4. Demonstrates proficient oral communication skills.
- 5. Incorporates technology-enhanced learning into the classroom.
- 6. Demonstrates appropriate knowledge of subject area and/or academic field.
- 7. Uses teaching methods and materials which encourage and interest students in the learning process.

- 8. Demonstrates appropriate organization and presentation of course material including the use of course outlines/syllabi.
- 9. Demonstrates appropriate methods of evaluating student knowledge and/or skill.
- 10. Demonstrates proficiency in and safe operation of laboratory and/or instructional equipment/materials and ensures appropriate safety practices in the classroom/laboratories.
- 11. Demonstrates effective coordination and management of laboratory facilities.
- 12. Maintains membership in professional organizations related to faculty member's Subject area and/or academic field.

Level II. Highly effective performance in the area of teaching/primary duties may be evidenced by, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. Highly effective classroom observations by peers (School Personnel Committee) and Department Chair.
- 2. Highly effective teaching performance evaluations by students as indicated by student responses on the student evaluation instrument.
- 3. Demonstrates effectiveness in organizing, analyzing, and presenting knowledge and/or materials.
- 4. Delivers a non-traditional/technology-delivered course
- 5. Demonstrates effectiveness in the coordination of academic programs.
- 6. Participates in revising and/or development of curricula/instructional materials.
- 7. Demonstrates accessibility and effective involvement in addressing student needs, including academic and professional issues.
- 8. Participates in relevant professional development activities related to teaching/primary duties.
- 9. Demonstrates continued performance in preceding level(s).

Level III. Superior performance in the area of teaching/primary duties may be evidenced by, but not limited to, the following:

1. Superior classroom observations by peers (School Personnel Committee) and Department Chair.

- 2. Superior teaching performance evaluations by students as indicated by student responses on the student evaluation instrument.
- 3. Demonstrates leadership in revising and/or developing curricula and/or instructional materials, including developing new course(s), workshop(s), curriculum for special topic course(s),
- 4. Demonstrates leadership for program development or curriculum revisions.
- 5. Directs graduate thesis or other student research activities.
- 6. Obtain or recertified in professional certification(s) in his/her area of teaching/research
- 7. Participates in intensive and/or extensive professional development activities directly related to teaching/primary duties.
- 8. Demonstrates superior coordination and management of laboratory facilities or academic programs
- 9. Demonstrates continued performance in preceding levels.

B. Research/Creative Activity

Categories of materials and activities appropriate for the evaluation of research/creative activities tend to contribute to the profession and/or enhance professional development. Creative activities include, but are not limited to, inquiry and/or innovative efforts within the field of expertise or profession. The levels below describe degrees of effectiveness. Documentation shall be reviewed in regard to relative quality, quantity, and relevance of the efforts to the faculty member's area of expertise and primary duties. Research/creative activities, which are juried (peer-reviewed), shall be considered more important than those that are not. In general, completed or published works will be more important than work in progress, except that considerations such as the quality or quantity of the work in progress may deem it more important. No order of priority is given to statements for each level. Documentation listed below shall be considered illustrative and not exhaustive.

Level I. Appropriate performance of first-year probationary faculty in the area of research/creative <u>activity may be evidenced by, but not limited to</u>, the following:

- 1. Departmental research as assigned by Chair.
- 2. Attends appropriate professional development activities directly related to teaching/primary duties.

Level II. Satisfactory performance in the area of research/creative activity may be evidenced by, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. Attends appropriate professional development activities, including workshop(s), conference(s), and conventions(s) directly related to teaching/primary duties.
- 2. Research activities associated with the pursuit of advanced degree.
- 3. Research/creative activities not directly associated with teaching duties.
- 4. Demonstrates continued performance in preceding levels.

Level III. Significant performance in the area of research/creative activity may be evidenced by, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. Research required by Departmental and/or University committees.
- 2. Preparation of exhibit(s), demonstration(s) and/or materials for seminar(s), workshop(s) and competition(s).
- 3. Preparation of materials for publication in book(s), monograph(s), or article(s) in professional journals.
- 4. Participates in other intensive and/or extensive professional development activities in addition to those directly related to teaching/primary duties.
- 5. Research, writing and submission of grant(s) or contract proposal(s).
- 6. Demonstrates continued performance in preceding levels.

Level IV. Superior accomplishment in the area of research/creative activity may be evidenced by, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. Actively engages in funded research grant(s) or contract(s).
- 2. Presentations at professional meeting(s).
- 3. A peer review paper accepted or presented in a professional meeting(s).
- 4. Delivery of exhibit(s), demonstration(s) and/or materials for seminar(s), workshop(s) and competition(s).
- 5. Research toward the creation of an innovative project or the development of experimental teaching material(s).

- 6. Publication in book(s), monograph(s), or article(s) in professional journals.
- 7. Research involved in editing professional materials.
- 8. Demonstrates continued performance in preceding levels.

C. Service

Categories of materials and activities appropriate for the evaluation of service are grouped below in levels demonstrating effective performance. Service activities tend to include those efforts that contribute to the profession, the School of Technology, and/or to the University mission including its public service mission. Faculty members should document their professional service activities, and when appropriate, include their time and/or personal resources invested. Both quantity of service and the quality of service as it benefits the University and the general public shall be considered. No order of priority is given to the statements listed within each level. These items shall be considered *illustrative and not exhaustive*.

Level I. Appropriate performance of first-year probationary faculty in the area of service may be evidenced by, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Participation/membership in School Committees.
- 2. Assists with planning and coordination of School-sponsored professional activities (e.g. open houses, recruitment, alumni activities, and conferences).

Level II. Satisfactory performance in the area of service may be evidenced by, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. Informs schools, businesses, industries and the general public of the services available through the School of Technology and the University through presentations as a guest speaker, written letters to schools or businesses or industries, distribution of marketing materials, or doing presentations.
- 2. Assists in the preparation of school publications, seminars, promotional materials, exhibits, etc.
- 3. Assists in planning and implementing in student recruitment activities.
- 4. Takes responsibility for planning and implementing activities in registered student organization.
- 5. Actively involved in at least one professional organization as a member.
- 6. Demonstrates continued service in preceding levels.

Level III. Significant performance in the area of service may be evidenced by, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. Serves in a leadership role to registered student organizations and/or school/college committees.
- 2. Presentations to community groups in your area of professional expertise of your major teaching area.
- 3. Committee membership in a professional organization.
- 4. Professionally-related and/or University-related work in an advisory capacity with community organizations, schools, businesses, and industry.
- 5. Serves on a college committee.
- 6. Demonstrates effective student academic advisement.
- 7. Chairs a school committee.
- 8. Demonstrates continued service in preceding levels.

Level IV. Superior performance in the area of service may be evidenced by, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. Serves on a university committee.
- 2. Serves in a leadership role in a professional organization.
- 3. Serves as a consultant at national, state, or local level.
- 4. Solicits tangible items or services to School and/or University such as equipment, supplies, software, commodities, etc.
- 5. Organizes a conference, symposia, or seminar at national, state, or local level.
- 6. Participates on non-academic boards or government agencies when related to the applicant's discipline or to University-sanctioned activities that advance the mission of the institution.
- 7. Maintains overload within primary duties within the academic year
- 8. Demonstrates continued service in preceding levels

II. Methods of Evaluation to be Used

A. Teaching/Performance of Primary Duties

1. **Teaching Performance Evaluations by Students**. The School Personnel Committee (SPC) will administer **teaching performance evaluations** by students during each semester. A faculty member applying for retention, tenure, or promotion must have at least two of his/her classes evaluated each term he/she teaches and at least one of the two classes must come from his/her primary teaching responsibility within a major in the School of Technology. At least one class has to be evaluated during the summer if applicable. The teaching performance evaluation by students will be administered in the last half of the course period.

The approved School of Technology evaluation form (Instructor Evaluation Questionnaire-See Appendix A) will be used. The form includes both the approved University core of evaluation items and additional items selected by the School. Labeled packets with a header sheet and forms included will be prepared and distributed by a representative of the School Personnel Committee for bargaining Unit A and Unit B personnel only. The instructor will leave the classroom during the evaluation. A designated student in the class will collect the evaluations. Special arrangements will be made to have the evaluation packets distributed to off-campus classes. EIU Office of Academic Assessment and Testing will make tabulations. The SPC member-elect is responsible for coordinating classroom evaluations. It is the responsibility of the Chair of the School of Technology to coordinate summer classroom evaluations if the member- elect is not available. Web-based classes in the School of Technology shall be evaluated using the approved form (See Appendix C) until new guidelines and procedures are established by the University.

The packets with the processed instructor evaluation questionnaires (teaching performance evaluations) and printout of evaluation results (the statistical summary) will be returned to the faculty member via the Chair. One copy of the evaluation results will be filed in the office of the School of Technology or Lumpkin College of Business and Technology. Faculty members must include a copy of the statistical summary and a compilation of student responses to openended items for all teaching performance evaluations.

In assessing teaching performance evaluations, evaluators should consider factors such as the difficulty of the course, class size, rate of return; whether the course is a program requirement, elective, or part of general education; and mode of delivery as well as other considerations suggested by a review of representative course materials.

2. Classroom Observations by Peers and Chair. During the evaluation period, each candidate applying for retention, promotion, and/or tenure will be observed twice

by a different member of the School Personnel Committee and in different classes with one being unannounced. Each candidate applying for retention, promotion, and/or tenure will be observed at least once by the Chair, or his/her designee, unannounced. Unit B Faculty will arrange for an announced visit by the Chair of the School of Technology once each academic year. The observations are for the purpose of evaluating teaching. The approved School of Technology Peer Evaluation Classroom Visit Observation Instrument will be used (See Appendix B).

Each candidate is responsible for scheduling observations by a representative of the School Personnel Committee and by the Chair of the School of Technology during each evaluation period for retention, tenure, and promotion. A copy of the peer and chair observations will be given to the School Personnel Committee Chair, SoT Chair, and the faculty member being evaluated within ten working days of the observation. Faculty applying for retention, promotion, and/or tenure must include a copy of each classroom observation conducted during the evaluation period as part of his/her evaluation materials.

- 3. Course Materials: Candidates are expected to provide course materials for all assigned courses for the evaluation period.
- 4. Candidates shall include any materials they wish the School Personnel Committee to use for evaluation purposes. The School Personnel Committee shall conduct the evaluation based on the quality of materials presented.
- 5. The School Personnel Committee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the candidate's teaching/performance of primary duties as specified in the contract.
- 6. Program Coordination and Leadership: Evaluation will be based on effectiveness of performing the responsibilities published for the assigned position. A copy of those responsibilities must be submitted.
- 7. Student Advisement: Academic advisors shall submit items such as a list of academic advisees, the academic advisement procedures used, and the results of student evaluations of academic advisement assistance. The School of Technology Academic Advisement Questionnaire form will be used (See Appendix D).
- 8. With the exception of research and sabbatical assignments, most activities for which three or more credit units per academic year are assigned shall be considered as primary duties for the purposes of evaluation.
- 9. For assigned duties other than research or sabbaticals, constituent groups shall be provided with the opportunity to evaluate the employee as appropriate.

10. Union duties, responsibilities, and projects may be considered in any of the three areas as appropriate.

B. Research/Creative Activity

- 1. Members of the School Personnel Committee will review and discuss research/creative activity documentation as submitted by a candidate.
- 2. The School Personnel Committee, with the knowledge and consent of the candidate, may request statements from qualified individuals as to the quality of the materials presented.
- 3. Research and sabbatical assignments shall be considered as research/creative activity.

C. Service

- 1. Members of the School Personnel Committee will review and discuss materials submitted by the candidate under service.
- 2. The School Personnel Committee may request written statements as to the quality of service given by the candidate from the appropriate sources involved.

III. Relative Importance of Research/Creative Activity, and Services

Teaching/primary duties are to be considered of primary importance followed by research and then service.

IV. Other Considerations

- A. Annually contracted faculty will be evaluated in the area of Teaching/Performance of Primary Duties under Levels I, II, and III by the Chair of the School of Technology and the Dean of the Lumpkin College of Business and Technology.
- B. Faculty members are required to submit documentation of all activities for which departmental CUs are assigned.
- C. Criteria for Professional Advancement Increase (PAI): Departmental criteria will be used with the required performance level of superior in teaching, superior in research, and significant in service; or superior in teaching, significant in research, and superior in service. Two classroom observations by peers and one by the Chair of the School of Technology are required.
- D. Performance Based Increase (PBI) for Unit B faculty: Departmental criteria will be used with the required performance level of superior in teaching. At least one

classroom observation by the Chair is required. A peer classroom observation may be requested by the faculty member applying but is not required.

Approved by the School of Technology: January 24, 1989 Amended by the School of Technology: October 12, 1989 Amended by the School of Technology: December 3, 1992 Amended by the School of Technology: November 11, 1996 Amended by the School of Technology: November 16, 2000 Amended by the School of Technology: November 13, 2003 Amended by the School of Technology: February 24, 2004 Amended by the School of Technology: October 11, 2007 Amended by the School of Technology: December 6, 2012 Amended by the School of Technology: December 6, 2018

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE FOR HYBRID AND FACE-TO-FACE COURSES

School of Technology

The purpose of this questionnaire is to provide your instructor feedback regarding the teaching of this course. Using the scale below, respond to each item. The results of this questionnaire will not be made available to the instructor until next semester. Please make additional comments regarding the course on the back.

5	4	3	2	1
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree	Disagree	Strongly
		nor Disagree		Disagree

- 1. The instructor demonstrates command of the subject matter or discipline.
- 2. The instructor effectively organizes knowledge or material for teaching/learning.
- 3. The instructor adheres to the communication and appointment policies outlined in the course syllabus.
- 4. The instructor presents knowledge or material effectively.
- 5. The instructor encourages and interests students in the learning process.
- 6. The instructor uses course/lab materials/submissions to assist in understanding course content.
- 7. The instructor is on time.
- 8. The instructor uses class time to focus on course content.
- 9. The instructor encourages careful, critical, and independent thinking about the course materials.
- 10. The instructor demonstrates proficient oral communication skills.
- 11. The instructor is courteous and approachable.
- 12. The instructor respects differences of opinion.
- 13. The instructor respects cultural diversity in the classroom.
- 14. The instructor provides feedback on classroom assignments that is helpful to the learning process.
- 15. The instructor's course content is aligned with the course description and learning objectives.
- 16. The instructor establishes clear standards for grading.
- 17. Exams and evaluation procedures are aligned with what is taught and assigned in the course.
- 18. In general, this class is conducted in a manner conducive to learning.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 1. What activities/topics were most useful or had the greatest impact?
- 2. How can this course be improved?
- 3. What other comments do you want to make about the teaching of this course?

SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY PEER EVALUATION CLASSROOM VISIT OBSERVATION INSTRUMENT

Instr	ructor: Da	te:	
Cou	rse: Eva	aluator:	
DIR.	ections: Respond to the items below by circling observations according to the following scale: 4 = Superior 1= Needs Improvement 3 = Highly Effective NA = Not Applicable/Not 2 = Satisfactory		esents your
1.	Instructor effectiveness in:		
	a. Planning and organizing the activities observed COMMENTS:	ved 4.3	2 1 NA
	 b. Instructional methods/techniques used (includisplay and presentation of class/course subjection) COMMENTS: 	•	2 1 NA
	c. Providing effective avenues for written and communication of English proficiency between and students.COMMENTS:		5 2 1 NA
	d. Effectiveness in facilitating critical thinking, thinking, or both.COMMENTS:	, independent 4 3	2 1 NA
	e. Audiovisual aids/instructional materials used COMMENTS:	i 43	2 1 NA
	f. Establishing and maintaining rapport with st COMMENTS:	udents 4 3	2 1 NA
	g. Supervising assigned activities (Group work; presentations) COMMENTS:	chat rooms, 4 3	2 1 NA

2. <u>Instructor appears to:</u>

a. Possess a good mastery/command of the subject COMMENTS:	4 3 2 1 NA
b. Effectively analyze and synthesize course/class material COMMENTS:	4 3 2 1 NA
c. Require learner participation in safety if a lab course. COMMENTS:	4321NA
d. Project professionalism	4 3 2 1 N A

3. <u>In general, the instructor</u>:

COMMENTS:

a. Creating and managing a learning environment that enhances student learning in the applicable field
 4 3 2 1 N A COMMENTS:

Additional Comments:

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE FOR ONLINE COURSES

School of Technology

The purpose of this questionnaire is to provide your instructor feedback regarding the teaching of this online course. Using the scale below, respond to each item. The results of this questionnaire will not be made available to the instructor until next semester. Please make additional comments regarding the course on the back.

5	4	3	2	1
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree	Disagree	Strongly
		nor Disagree		Disagree

- 1. The instructor demonstrates command of the subject matter or discipline.
- 2. The instructor effectively organizes knowledge or material for teaching/learning.
- 3. The instructor adheres to the communication and appointment policies outlined in the course syllabus.
- 4. The instructor presents knowledge or material effectively.
- 5. The instructor encourages and interests students in the learning process.
- 6. The instructor uses course/lab materials/submissions to assist in understanding course content.
- 7. The on-line materials and assignments were posted by the instructor in a timely fashion.
- 8. The instructor uses online discussions and other media to focus on course content.
- 9. The instructor encourages careful, critical, and independent thinking about the course materials.
- 10. The instructor demonstrates proficient written communication skills.
- 11. The instructor is courteous and responsive.
- 12. The instructor respects differences of opinion.
- 13. The instructor respects cultural diversity in the classroom.
- 14. The instructor provides feedback on assignments that is helpful to the learning process.
- 15. The instructor's course content is aligned with the course description and learning objectives.
- 16. The instructor establishes clear standards for grading.
- 17. Exams and evaluation procedures are aligned with what is taught and assigned in the course.
- 18. In general, the class is conducted in a manner conducive to learning.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 1. What activities/topics were most useful or had the greatest impact?
- 2. How can this course be improved?
- 3. What other comments do you want to make about the teaching of this course?

ACADEMIC ADVISOR EVALUATION

The purpose of this questionnaire is to provide your academic advisor feedback regarding their advising over the past year.

1.	Please indicate your major	=	

Using the scale below, respond to each item.

5	4	3	2	1
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree

- My advisor is knowledgeable about the university, college, and department programs, procedures, and deadlines.
- 3. I feel comfortable speaking with my advisor about academic matters.
- 4. My adviser personalizes his/her advice to my unique situation.
- 5. My adviser treats me with respect.
- 6. My adviser helps me anticipate opportunities and/or problems.
- 7. My adviser is able to help me find answers to my questions in a timely manner.
- 8. My adviser processes my paperwork in a timely manner.
- 9. My adviser keeps appointments when made.
- 10. My adviser is focused on me and my needs when I meet or communicate with him/her.
- 11. I am satisfied with the amount of communication (via email, phone, social media, text message) I have had with my adviser
- 12. My adviser responds to my contacts (by email, phone, social media, text message) in a reasonable amount of time.
- 13. My adviser assists me with class scheduling.
- 14. My adviser helps me understand my degree audit.
- 15. My adviser has helped me develop a suitable educational plan.
- 16. My adviser is knowledgeable about careers that apply to my major.
- 17. My adviser evaluates my progress in completing my graduation requirements.
- 18. My adviser has positively impacted my continued enrollment with the School of Technology and at Eastern Illinois University overall.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

1. What other comments would you like to make about your adviser.