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Kathleen Shank, Tim Zimmer, Pam Naragon, David Emmerich, Chris Childress(guest), Pat Early(arrive ~10:30), Cynthia Nichols(arrive 12:00pm)

- KS – we’ll be reviewing the combination of FPM programs today
  - A question heard around campus is regarding charge backs and repairs. Where does that show up in budgets/expenses
  - CC – explained where it is located
  - KS – looks like it is $10 million on expenses side, what about revenue?
    - Where does the money come from?
    - CC – comes through charge backs
      - Income in 2013 was approx. $10 million
      - TZ – it’s a 1:1 charge back as much as possible
  - KS – that’s a lot of money around campus being spent, obviously not all appropriated, how much is appropriated?
    - CC – of $10.9 million spent in FY14
      - ~$7.3 million was appropriated
      - $762,000 was local
      - ~$2.8 million was bond
      - In FY13, a big amount was the track
    - TZ – would also have included pemberton, honors college, grounds shed
    - TZ – for FY15, planned appropriated is $1 million
    - CC – looked into track work order
      - Approximately $3.5 million on track came from VPBA under appropriated funds (was over 2 years)
  - KS, TZ, etc..
    - Basically what we’re stating is it’s so difficult to even track 1 project and who’s paying where, how can we be fiscally responsible tracking all of these projects and track who is being responsible with their spending

- KS – moving onto expenditures
  - Under travel, point was made that 90% of travel was not really travel. Where are the fleet expenses and revenue?
  - CC/TZ – that’s in garage revolving
  - CC – the looking like travel a bunch is really fleet repairs
  - PN – why do we get up-charged on our gas expenses?
• Ie – when using right-express credit card, it’s charged up
  o KS – we probably need to look at the fleet, because that is one that was discussed in the past as a possible place. Item placed on agenda for 3/28/14
  o TZ – in regards to the vehicles, Chris has broken out the budget on those and provided them to different shops
  o CC/TZ – we typically buy used vehicles with high miles to save costs
  o PN – comparing using personal vehicles compared to fleet vehicles
    ▪ CC – it’s .50 per mile to take the fleet and .56 per mile to take personal
    ▪ KS – how does any of that compare to just using a rental car?
    ▪ CC – some of that has been figured up, will provide info next week
• KS – in regards to several million in survey, where will that show up?
  o TZ – it won’t show up on our funds as revenue or expenses because CDB(Capital Development Board) money
    ▪ If we do the projects, we will have to pay out of local or appropriated funds if we prioritize any of them as something that needs done?
    ▪ KS – who does the prioritizations?
    ▪ TZ – many projects prioritize from different sources, just depends
• KS – what happens to all furniture, etc…that we send to surplus
  o TZ – talked about establishing a showroom in Carmen, but we do not know if it would be used …. Etc..
  o DE – we do some of that with computing inventory and other departments, too. Could find more
  o KS – can we at least put in a question to see if it’s worth a surplus re-use process?
  o DE – we’ll add it to our list to be reviewed
• PN – can we discuss cost of maintenance materials
  o TZ – looking back at history of projects, we’ve been slashed a large amount to where we are now at a dime/sq foot on maintenance materials which holds back projects
  o CC – in the 2003-ish reduction we lost many people due to the cuts
• PN – on trades, grounds operation had $60k increase in FY13
  o CC – a case was made for the additional costs, and it was approved, which shows more expenses
  o Reviewed with CC expenses in trades to verify some numbers, nothing really to question
  o Noted 1.7 reduction in staffing over time
  o Also provide materials and time to a Masters program for experience and public services
• KS – is there a formula on charge-backs?
  o TZ – we use base wages + 48%, which is low compared to almost all other universities, and it has been asked to look into reducing that more.
  o CN – do they get any appropriated dollars from that?
    ▪ TZ – example, RNA is not base funded by any appropriated
    ▪ CN – but projects could come from appropriated
• PN – noted all of the trades accomplishments and public awards
  o Really nothing worth looking at for reductions
• CN – PBIR review
  o Not a lot of money there and already down on staff from the past
  o Could be a place where expanded forecasting could be done
• DE - Based on student/faculty data, would reduction of temporary faculty and temporary extra instructors be feasible to control reducing staff/costs over time
• KS – additionally, there is a significant amount of staff all around campus related to enrollment management, have we looked at the total cost of what it is taking to manage and implement new enrollment initiatives